IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 5003 of 1997

To

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 5006 of 1997

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KS JHAVERI

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

VARUN SEACON LIMITED - Petitioner(s)

Versus

JITENDRA RAMESHBHAI PATEL - Respondent(s)

==========

Appearance :

MR AK CLERK for Petitioner No(s).: 1.

NONE for Respondent No(s).: 1.

==========

CORAM :HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KS JHAVERI

Date : 06/07/2005

ORAL JUDGMENT

1.0 The petitioner has challenged the order dated 27.05.1997 passed by the Labour Court, Nadiad, in References (LCN) No. 103/1996, 104/1996, 105/1996 and 107/1996, whereby the said references were partly allowed.

2.0 The respondents herein were appointed as Probationers on 01.02.1996. Their services were terminated by order dated 25.03.1996. Feeling aggrieved by the said order, the respondents raised a dispute which was referred to the Labour Court, Nadiad by way of references. The Labour Court, Nadiad, by impugned order, partly allowed the said references. Hence, these petitions.

3.0 Mr. N R Sahani learned advocate states that he is not appearing in the matter as Advocate for the respondents. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the documents placed on record. The interlocutory order of the Labour Court was suspended by this Court by order dated 28.08.1997 and by order dated 22.01.1998, it was ordered to be continued till the final disposal of the petition. Since the references are still pending, I am of the opinion that it would be appropriate to continue the said interim relief granted by this Court, till the final disposal of the references in question.

4.0 In above view of the matter, the Labour Court, Nadiad, is directed to expedite the hearing of the references in question and to dispose it of within a period of one year from the receipt of writ of this order, if the same are not disposed of so far. The interim relief granted by this

Court as aforesaid shall continue till the final disposal of the references in question. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent with no order as to costs.

(K. S. JHAVERI, J.)

pravin/ *